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Lymphoepithelial Cyst of the Pancreas and Elevated Cyst Fluid Carcinoembryonic Antigen: A Diagnostic Challenge

Nilanjana Tewari¹, Katie Rollins¹, Jessie Wu², Phillip Kaye², Dileep N Lobo¹

¹Division of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Nottingham Digestive Diseases Centre National Institute of Health Research Biomedical Research Unit, ²Department of Pathology, Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, Queen’s Medical Centre, Nottingham, UK

INTRODUCTION

Pancreatic lymphoepithelial cysts (LECs) are rare, benign cysts which are predominantly seen in middle-aged men [1] and are characterised by a mature, keratinising squamous lining surrounded by lymphoid tissue [2]. LECs can be located in any part of the pancreas, can be unilocular or multilocular and are surrounded by normal pancreatic tissue [3].

Distinguishing between malignant and benign pancreatic cystic lesions is of clinical significance as it determines the prognosis and the feasibility of curative surgery [4]. Although histological diagnosis is straightforward, preoperatively, pancreatic cysts can present a diagnostic challenge.

CASE REPORT

A sixty seven (67) years old man presented to the urologists with recurrent episodes of painless haematuria on the background of benign prostatic hypertrophy. An abdominal ultrasound scan demonstrated an incidental finding of a 5.7×4.7×4.4 cm thick walled avascular cystic structure with a thick septum in relation to the neck of the pancreas (Figure 1). Contrast enhanced computed tomography (Figure 2) confirmed the presence of a cyst related to the junction of the head and neck of the pancreas with otherwise normal architecture of the pancreas and no evidence of metastatic disease.

A loculated, thick-walled cyst with no solid areas was visualised on endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) and sampling was performed on a locule of the cyst containing debris. Cytological examination of the aspirate revealed numerous pigment laden histiocytes with lymphocytes and neutrophils with amorphous material, likely representing aspiration of a cyst but insufficient for a specific diagnosis. This was classified as non-suspicious cytologically but the concentration of carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) in the cyst fluid was elevated (1129 ng/mL). As such, given the clinical concern of a possible mucinous cystadenoma, the patient was counselled regarding the possibility of a malignant cyst or future malignant transformation and a decision to proceed to surgical resection was made.

Intra-operatively the patient had a cystic lesion in the neck of the pancreas with intra-operative ultrasound
confirming the head of the pancreas to be normal. A distal pancreatectomy, splenectomy and cholecystectomy was performed, following which he made a good recovery.

Histopathological examination (Figure 3) of the resected specimen revealed a completely excised multicellular cyst measuring 60×30×10 mm lined with squamous epithelium with a transitional appearance and containing abundant lymphocytes in the wall, all consistent with a lymphoepithelial cyst. There was no evidence of dysplasia or malignancy.

**DISCUSSION**

Pancreatic cystic lesions can present a diagnostic challenge. The potential for malignancy requires thorough clinical, radiological and pathological investigation. However, even with advances in diagnostic techniques, preoperative diagnosis can be difficult.

The most common cystic lesion of the pancreas is the pseudocyst, which usually occurs as a complication of pancreatitis [5]. True cysts of the pancreas, distinguished by the presence of an epithelial lining, are usually neoplastic or have neoplastic potential [6]. Intraductal neoplasms such as intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMNs) can also present as cystic masses and may be associated with invasive carcinomas. Mucinous cystic neoplasms of the pancreas may be associated with malignancy while serous cystic neoplasms are usually benign [6].

LEC were first described by Lüchtehard and Schriefers in 1985 [7]. The diagnosis of LEC of the pancreas is difficult prior to surgical resection. The condition is often found incidentally or may present with non-specific symptoms such as abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, anorexia, weight loss, back pain, fatigue and fever [8]. No recurrences or progression have been documented in the small number of LEC for whom follow-up information has been published [9]. Therefore, cure is possible with conservative resection and if the tumor can be diagnosed preoperatively, a ‘wait and watch’ is acceptable. Clinical differentiation from other cystic lesions of the pancreas can be challenging (Table 1) [10-20].
Lymphoepithelial cysts can be visualised on CT and MRI but non-invasive imaging alone is unreliable with reported accuracy of 20 to 90% [10, 21-24]. In general, LECs have thick fibrous walls and relatively large blood vessels. Therefore, the cyst wall demonstrates hypointensity on T1 and T2 weighted MRI images and can enhance following contrast administration [14]. LECs may also contain granular keratinized material or viscous fluid which causes profound water restriction on diffusion-weighted (DWI) MRI [25]. Endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) with fine needle aspiration (FNA) and analysis of cyst fluid is useful, tumor markers such as carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) in cyst fluid have been used to differentiate mucinous neoplasms and pseudocysts with sensitivity and specificity of 57% and 100% respectively [26]. In a series of 220 patients with cystic lesions of the pancreas, the presence of symptoms, elevated serum CEA or CA19-9, size >3cm and morphologic features on cross-sectional imaging such as presence of peripheral component, presence of peripheral calcification and dilation of main pancreatic duct were significant predictors of malignancy or potential malignancy [27].

A recent case series of nine lymphoepithelial cysts resected in a single institution demonstrated markedly elevated cyst fluid CEA levels (above 200 ng/ml) in the absence of malignancy. This finding has been described in a few previous case reports [28-33]. Therereported case did not have the typical appearance of LEC on preoperative imaging and the presence of a grossly elevated CEA raised the possibility of malignancy. Therefore, a conservative management approach was not appropriate. Despite advances in cross-sectional imaging, the current case highlights the diagnostic dilemmas associated with the finding of a cystic pancreatic lesion and grossly elevated cyst fluid tumor marker.
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**Table 1: Radiological and pathological features of pancreatic cysts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cross-sectional imaging features</th>
<th>Serous cystadenoma</th>
<th>Mucinous cystadenoma</th>
<th>Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm</th>
<th>Pseudocyst</th>
<th>Lymphoepithelial cyst</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

PD – pancreatic duct
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