# HIGHLIGHT ARTICLE

# Updates in Adjuvant Therapy in Pancreatic Cancer: Gemcitabine and Beyond

Highlights from the "2010 ASCO Gastrointestinal Cancers Symposium". Orlando, FL, USA. January 22-24, 2010

# Joshua Richter, Muhammad Wasif Saif

Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

#### Summary

Pancreatic cancer represents the 4<sup>th</sup> leading cause of cancer deaths in the United States. Surgical resection remains the only potential curative approach. However, given the notion of a high recurrence rate, adjuvant therapy is needed to offset this risk. The 2010 American Society of Oncology Gastrointestinal Cancers Symposium offered new insights into optimized approaches towards adjuvant therapy of pancreatic cancer. Abstracts focusing on the role of targeted therapy utilizing erlotinib or GI-4000 in combination with gemcitabine (Abstracts #224 and #229) were presented. Subbiah, *et al.* presented a retrospective analysis comparing systemic chemotherapy *versus* chemoradiotherapy (Abstract #230) in the adjuvant setting. In addition, a data driven prediction tool to help predict which patients would be able to complete a course of adjuvant therapy in order to select out those who may alternative approaches (Abstract #236) was also presented. The authors summarize these findings presented at the 2010 ASCO Gastrointestinal Cancers Symposium, January 22-24, 2010, Orlando, FL, USA.

#### Introduction

The American Cancer Society estimated that approximately 42,470 new cases of pancreatic cancer were diagnosed in the United States in 2009 with 35,240 deaths [1]. Of these new cases only 15-20% of these are classified as resectable disease; with only one-fifth of those patients surviving 5 years [2]. There continues to be a need for improvement in adjuvant

Key words Chemotherapy, Adjuvant; Combined Modality Therapy; erlotinib; gemcitabine; Pancreatic Neoplasms
Abbreviations CONKO: Charité Onkologie; EORTC: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer; MUC-1: mucin 1; NCCN: National Comprehensive Cancer Network; VACCR: Veterans Affairs Central Cancer Registry
Correspondence Muhammad Wasif Saif Yale Cancer Center, Yale University School of Medicine, 100 Church Street South, P.O. Box 9740, New Haven, CT 06536-0740 USA
Phone: +1-203.737.1569; Fax: +1-203.785.3788
E-mail: wasif.saif@yale.edu
URL http://www.serena.unina.it/index.php/jop/article/view/3848/4289 therapy for those patients who are candidates for initial surgical resection. Results from the Charité Onkologie (CONKO-001) trial have shown that adjuvant chemotherapy with gemcitabine confers about a 6month improvement in median disease-free survival in patients with R0 and R1 resections. However; there was no statistically significant improvement in overall survival between the gemcitabine and the observation groups [3]. This paper summarizes the recent work presented at the 2010 Gastric Cancers Symposium regarding advances in the adjuvant treatment setting of pancreatic cancer (Table 1).

# Update in Adjuvant Treatment in Pancreatic Cancer

#### <u>Abstract #224: Phase II trial of adjuvant gemcitabine</u> and erlotinib for resected pancreatic cancer [4]

Gemcitabine has emerged as a popular chemotherapeutic agent in the treatment of pancreatic cancer. In the metastatic setting the addition of erlotinib to

Table 1. 2010 ASCO Gastrointestinal Cancers Symposium: adjuvant treatment in pancreatic cancer abstracts.

Abstract	Title	Number of patients	Study type
#224 Bao PQ, <i>et al</i> . [4]	Phase II trial of adjuvant gemcitabine and erlotinib for resected pancreatic cancer	25	Prospective Phase II
#229 Richards DA, <i>et al</i> . [6]	A randomized phase II adjuvant trial of resected patients with ras mutation bearing pancreas cancer treated with GI-4000 and gemcitabine or gemcitabine alone: A safety analysis of the first 100 treated patients	100	Prospective Phase II
#230 Subbiah S, <i>et al</i> . [8]	Adjuvant chemotherapy versus chemoradiation therapy in resectable pancreatic cancer: Retrospective analysis from the VA Central Cancer Registry (VACCR) database	742	Retrospective
#236 Smith JK, <i>et al.</i> [12]	Adjuvant therapy for resectable pancreatic cancer: A simple prediction rule	3,043	Retrospective

gemcitabine has yielded a small but significant improvement in median overall survival [5]. The authors of this abstract have conducted a phase II prospective trial to evaluate the role of this combination in the adjuvant setting.

Twenty-five patients with margin-negative resections were treated with gemcitabine  $1,500 \text{ mg/m}^2$  biweekly for four months with concomitant erlotinib 150 mg daily for a total of 12 months. Therapy was to be initiated within 10 weeks of surgery. The primary endpoint of the study was to evaluate the effect of therapy on time to radiographic recurrence. The patient characteristics are reported in Table 2.

Fourteen of the 25 patients (56.0%) experienced recurrence of disease. Of those 14 patients:

• 9 recurred during treatment;

• 4 recurred after completing the planned course of therapy; and

• 1 recurred after being taken off protocol.

The recurrence free survival was 21.5 months (95% confidence interval: 7.6-24.5 months). Although the median overall survival was not reached; the estimated 1- and 2-year overall survival was 82% and 61%, respectively.

Overall, the combination of the two agents was tolerated moderately well with approximately 1/3 of patients requiring a dose reduction and/or dose holding of one or both drugs during treatment.

Abstract # 229: A randomized phase II adjuvant trial of resected patients with ras mutation bearing pancreas cancer treated with GI-4000 and gemcitabine or gemcitabine alone: A safety analysis of the first 100 treated patients [6]

K-*ras* oncogene mutations have been identified in up to 95% of pancreatic cancers, implying their critical role in their molecular pathogenesis. Various studies have identified a wide prevalence and location of these mutations; however several loci (such as codon 12) may be associated with a particular tendency towards oncogenesis [7]. To further exploit this target the authors of this article have utilized GI-4000 which is a yeast-based (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) vaccine system that is designed to stimulate "killer" T cells in the immune system to find and destroy diseased cells. They have combined this novel agent with gemcitabine

	Table 2. Pa	tient characte	eristics: Abstr	act #224 [4].
--	-------------	----------------	-----------------	---------------

Number of patients accrued	25
Age (years; median, range)	66 (34-81)
Surgery: - Pancreaticoduodenectomy - Distal pancreatectomy	20 (80%) 5 (20%)
Nodal status: - Node positive - Node negative	16 (64%) 9 (36%)
Time to treatment (days; median, range)	62 (34-70)
Follow-up (months; median, range)	13.1 (7.3-23.5)

Table 3. P	Patient cha	racteristics:	Abstract	#229	[6].
------------	-------------	---------------	----------	------	------

	1 [1]
Number of patients accrued	100
Age (years; median)	61
Sex: - Male - Female	60% 40%
Resection: - R0 - R1	77% 23%

as an adjuvant treatment strategy for patients with resected pancreatic cancer. Their study focused on the safety analysis of this regimen. The patient characteristics are reported in Table 3.

Eligibility requirements for the study include patients with an R0 or R1 resection of a pancreatic neoplasm which contained a K-*ras* oncogene mutation associated with one of the mutants expressed in GI-4000. After successful pancreas cancer surgery, patient tumor specimens were assessed by DNA sequencing to identify whether the tumor contains a K-*ras* mutation contained in GI-4000. All patients received treatment with 6 cycles of gemcitabine 1,000 mg/m<sup>2</sup> weekly x3 out of 4 week cycle. Patients were randomized to GI-4000 or placebo to be administered weekly x3 prior to chemotherapy, monthly concomitantly with chemotherapy and monthly thereafter until death, recurrence or termination of therapy due to intolerance.

The median exposure to GI-4000 was 11 doses in this cohort. The safety data revealed 35 deaths and 2 discontinuations secondary to adverse events. Major serious adverse events included intra-abdominal abscess and intestinal obstruction. More common adverse events included fatigue, abdominal pain, anemia and neutropenia. Based on this initial safety analysis with acceptable adverse events the cohort has been expanded to 200 patients.

## Abstract # 230: Adjuvant chemotherapy versus chemoradiation therapy in resectable pancreatic cancer: Retrospective analysis from the VA Central Cancer Registry (VACCR) database [8]

There is no universally accepted standard approach to treat patients with pancreatic cancer in the adjuvant setting. This controversy derives from several studies, each fraught with its own limitations. Standards of care also vary depending on which side of the Atlantic you are on: chemo-radiotherapy followed by chemotherapy is considered the optimal therapy in North America (Gastrointestinal Tumor Study Group: GITSG; European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer: EORTC; Radiation Therapy Oncology Group; RTOG 9704) while chemotherapy alone is the current standard in Europe (European Study Group for Pancreatic Cancer: ESPAC-1, ESPAC-3; CONKO). EORTC utilized a 5-fluorouracil based The chemoradiotherapy strategy and found an improvement in median survival from 19 to 24.5 months; however this was not statistically significant (P=0.208) [9]. The ESPAC-1 trial by Neoptolemos et al. actually found a detriment to survival in the chemoradiotherapy arm [10]. As there still remains some controversy; the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines for the management of pancreatic cancer still allow for the use of adjuvant chemoradiotherapy [11].

In an effort to further elucidate this question, the authors of this paper analyzed retrospective data from the Veterans Affairs Central Cancer Registry (VACCR) database regarding patients with resected pancreatic cancer. The treatment modalities are reported in Table 4.

The cohort for this study was collected from patients in the VACCR database from 1995-2007. The majority of patients received either no adjuvant therapy or treatment with chemoradiotherapy. Both the chemotherapy and the chemoradiotherapy arms yielded an improvement in median survival when compared to those who received either no adjuvant therapy or radiation alone. The radiotherapy alone arm yielded the lowest median survival.

A multivariate analysis was conducted to evaluate the effect of differing factors on the survival statistic. The statistically significant results are displayed in Table 5.

The chemotherapy arm was associated with a superior overall survival at 1 year at 63%. However, the chemoradiotherapy arm showed superior overall survival at the 1, 3 and 5 year marks with 72%, 28%, and 19%, respectively. The data suggest a benefit to treating pancreatic cancer with adjuvant therapy; however further prospective studies are needed to tease out exactly what approach is best for each individual patient.

## <u>Abstract # 236: Adjuvant therapy for resectable</u> pancreatic cancer: A simple prediction rule [12]

Despite adequate R0/R1 resections for pancreatic cancer there remains a high recurrence rate in patients with macroscopically completely resected tumors. Although the optimal adjuvant therapy is not clearly defined; what is clear is that there is a need for therapy beyond surgical resection. Although regimens such as gemcitabine monotherapy are generally well tolerated, there are a substantial number of patients, for one reason or another, who will be unable to complete a course of adjuvant chemotherapy. If at presentation (upfront/prior to surgery) we knew which patients would be unable to complete adjuvant therapy we would need to do something else to reduce this risk. The authors of this abstract sought to create a prediction model to help define that subset.

Patients were identified through the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Result (SEER) and Medicare

Table 4. Treatment modalities: Abstract #230 [8].

Number of patients	742
Adjuvant therapy:	
- None	57%
- Chemoradiotherapy	28%
- Chemotherapy	11%
- Radiotherapy	4%

Table 5. Multivariate	analysis	of	individual	variables'	effect	on
survival: Abstract #230	[8]					

Negative effect	No effect	
Advanced age	Race	
Number of positive lymph nodes	Sex	
Poorly differentiated tumor	Smoking history	
-	Number of examined lymph nodes	

linked databases and selected out for age less than 65 years with pancreatic cancer resection between 1991 and 2007.

Of the 3,043 patients identified using this tool 80% were used to generate the data and the remaining 20% were used to validate it. The prediction tool takes into account a variety of factors including age, sex, surgery type, as well as comorbidities as calculated by the Charlson comorbidity score. The prediction tool generated a c statistic of 0.62 for the validation set, which translates into a fair predictive value. This tool can help predict those who may not be able to complete a significant course of adjuvant therapy and who should be selected out for alternative approaches for tumor recurrence risk reduction.

## Discussion

The optimal adjuvant approach for patients with resected pancreatic cancer remains elusive. The NCCN guidelines offer a variety of approaches including systemic chemotherapy with agents such as gemcitabine, 5-fluorouracil, capecitabine or gemcitabine followed by 5-fluorouracil based chemoradiotherapy [11]. The NCCN maintains that the best management for any cancer patient is enrollment in a clinical trial.

Intensive research is currently being conducted looking at vaccine strategies in a variety of solid and liquid tumors. Several groups have been evaluating the role of a mucin 1 (MUC-1) based vaccine in the adjuvant setting [13, 14]. MUC-1 is a tumor associated antigen which has been shown to be overexpressed in pancreatic adenocarcinoma. This vaccine approach focuses on the induction of an endogenous T-cell response which may confer a role in both the active therapeutic as well as the preventative setting. It represents an ideal approach in the adjuvant setting where the patient is either disease free or possesses minimal residual disease.

While these approaches offer some degree of benefit, as we enter into an age of "personalized medicine" we need to push the mantra of "the right approach for the right patient!". A certain approach in a K-ras mutated patient may not be correct in the age-matched K-ras wild type. In the R0 resection setting cure is the goal and we can do better still. It is also important to underline the importance on developing as well as considering neoadjuvant therapy (chemo-radiotherapy or chemotherapy) in this setting. This approach can lead to improved survival, down-staging marginal lesions, sparing patients with rapidly progressive disease unnecessary surgery, and eliminate potential treatment delays that may be associated with adjuvant therapy [15].

**Conflict of interest** The authors have no potential conflicts of interest

#### References

1. Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, Hao Y, Xu J, Thun MJ. Cancer Statistics, 2009. CA Cancer J Clin 2009; 59:225-49. [PMID 19474385]

2. Li D, Xie K, Wolff R, Abbruzzese JL. Pancreatic cancer. Lancet 2004; 363:1049-57. [PMID 15051286]

3. Oettle H, Post S, Neuhaus P, Gellert K, Langrehr J, Ridwelski K, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy with gemcitabine vs observation in patients undergoing curative-intent resection of pancreatic cancer: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2007; 297:267-77. [PMID 17227978]

4. Bao PQ, Ramanathan RK, Moser AJ, Bahary N, Lembersky BC, Bartlett DL, et al. Phase II trial of adjuvant gemcitabine and erlotinib for resected pancreatic cancer. 2010 ASCO Gastrointestinal Cancers Symposium. Abstract No. 224.

5. Moore MJ, Goldstein D, Hamm J, Figer A, Hecht JR, Gallinger S, et al. Erlotinib plus gemcitabine compared with gemcitabine alone in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer: a phase III trial of the National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group. J Clin Oncol 2007; 25:1960-6. [PMID 17452677]

6. Richards DA, Muscarella P, Ritch PS, Fisher WE, Flynn PJ, Whiting SH, et al. A randomized phase II adjuvant trial of resected patients with ras mutation bearing pancreas cancer treated with GI-4000 and gemcitabine or gemcitabine alone: A safety analysis of the first 100 treated patients. 2010 ASCO Gastrointestinal Cancers Symposium. Abstract No. 229.

7. Hruban RH, van Mansfeld AD, Offerhaus GJ, van Weering DH, Allison DC, Goodman SN, et al. k-ras oncogene activation in adenocarcinoma of the human pancreas. A study of 82 carcinomas using a combination of mutant-enriched polymerase chain reaction analysis and allele-specific oligonucleotide hybridization. Am J Pathol 1993; 143:545-54. [PMID 8342602]

8. Subbiah S, Aldoss I, Gonsalves W, Tashi T, Al-Howaidi I, Silberstein PT. Adjuvant chemotherapy versus chemoradiation therapy in resectable pancreatic cancer: Retrospective analysis from the VA Central Cancer Registry (VACCR) database. 2010 ASCO Gastrointestinal Cancers Symposium. Abstract No. 230.

9. Klinkenbijl JH, Jeekel J, Sahmoud T, van Pel R, Couvreur ML, Veenhof CH, et al. Adjuvant radiotherapy and 5-fluorouracil after curative resection of cancer of the pancreas and periampullary region: phase III trial of the EORTC gastrointestinal tract cancer cooperative group. Ann Surg 1999; 230:776-82. [PMID 10615932]

10. Neoptolemos JP, Stocken DD, Friess H, Bassi C, Dunn JA, Hickey H, et al. A randomized trial of chemoradiotherapy and chemotherapy after resection of pancreatic cancer. N Engl J Med 2004; 350:1200-10. [PMID 15028824]

11. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma V.1.2009. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology.

12. Smith JK, Ng S, Simons JP, Zhou Z, Shah SA, McDade TP, et al. Adjuvant therapy for resectable pancreatic cancer: A simple prediction rule. 2010 ASCO Gastrointestinal Cancers Symposium. Abstract No. 236.

13. Lepisto AJ, Moser AJ, Zeh H, Lee K, Bartlett D, McKolanis JR, et al. A phase I/II study of a MUC1 peptide pulsed autologous dendritic cell vaccine as adjuvant therapy in patients with resected pancreatic and biliary tumors. Cancer Ther 2008; 6:955-64. [PMID 19129927]

14. Rong Y, Jin D, Wu W, Lou W, Wang D, Kuang T, Ni X, Qin X. Induction of protective and therapeutic anti-pancreatic cancer immunity using a reconstructed MUC1 DNA vaccine. BMC Cancer 2009; 9:191. [PMID 19534821]

15. Saif MW. Controversies in the adjuvant treatment of pancreatic adenocarcinoma. JOP. J Pancreas (Online) 2007; 8:545-52. [PMID 17873458]