AISP - 36<sup>th</sup> National Congress. Bologna, Italy. October 4-6, 2012

## Three Different Techniques for KRAS Mutational Analysis in EUS-FNA Pancreatic Lesions: Sanger Sequencing, Next Generation Sequencing and Real-Time PCR

Michela Visani<sup>1</sup>, Dario de Biase<sup>1,2</sup>, Anna Maria Polifemo<sup>3</sup>, Carlo Fabbri<sup>3</sup>, Paola Baccarini<sup>2</sup>, Nicola Zanini<sup>4</sup>, Adele Fornelli<sup>5</sup>, Elio Jovine<sup>4</sup>, Annalisa Pession<sup>1</sup>, Giovanni Tallini<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Experimental Pathology, University of Bologna; <sup>2</sup>Section of Anatomic Pathology, University of Bologna; <sup>3</sup>Department of Endoscopy and Gastroenterology, Bellaria Hospital; Departments of <sup>4</sup>Surgery and <sup>5</sup>Anatomic Pathology, Maggiore Hospital. Bologna, Italy

Context KRAS is an oncogene frequently mutated in pancreatic carcinomas. 90% of the mutations are localized at the level of codons 12, 13 and 61. Detecting a KRAS mutation could help to distinguish pancreatic cancer from pseudotumoral chronic pancreatitis. Identifying such mutations in preoperative endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration (EUS-FNA) material is an important diagnostic aid. For this reason it is necessary to have highly sensitive and specific molecular techniques. Patients and Methods In 60 consecutive patients, who underwent EUS-FNA for typing of focal lesions of the pancreas, FNA material was processed for routine cytological analysis and an aliquot collected in ethanol for KRAS analysis. The material was used for the analysis of KRAS through: Sanger sequencing (Beckman CEQ2000 platform), Allele specific LNA qPCR (ASLNAqPCR) e Roche 454 GS-Junior sequencer (Next Generation Sequencing; NGS). Results At

microscopic examination the cytological diagnosis ranged from malignant to non-neoplastic lesions. The analysis with the Sanger sequencing revealed KRAS mutations in 17/60 samples, using ASLNAqPCR KRAS mutations were detected in 25/60 patients while NGS allowed to detect mutations in 30/60 samples. With regard to cases with cytological diagnosis of primary adenocarcinoma, Sanger sequencing showed KRAS mutations in 6/15 (40%) cases, ASLNAqPCR in 10/15 (66.7%) and NGS in 12/15 (80%). Conclusions ASLNAqPCR and NGS techniques were found to be more sensitive than Sanger sequencing. The NGS allows to detect infrequent mutations and ASLNAqPCR provides data on the fraction of mutated cells in the analyzed sample. The use of one of these two techniques (or both of them) allows to characterize in a very precise way the KRAS molecular status of a pancreatic lesion starting from material obtained with EUS-FNA.

© 2012 JOP and author(s). Free circulation of these proceedings is permitted only for research and study purposes. Any commercial and for-profit usage is subject to authorization by the Publisher: see the JOP Special Copyright Statement at http://www.serena.unina.it/index.php/jop/about/submissions for license details.