HIGHLIGHT ARTICLE

Intraductal Papillary Mucinous Neoplasms of the Pancreas: Clinical Surveillance and Malignant Progression, Multifocality and Implications of a Field-Defect

Highlights from the "2012 ASCO Gastrointestinal Cancers Symposium". San Francisco, CA, USA. January 19-21, 2012

Helen Elaine Remotti¹, Megan Winner², Muhammad Wasif Saif³

Departments of ¹Pathology and Cell Biology, ²Surgery and ³Medicine, Division of Hematology and Oncology; Columbia University Medical Center and the New York Presbyterian Hospital. NewYork, NY, USA

Summary

Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMNs) are a heterogeneous group of mucin producing cystic tumors that involve the main pancreatic duct and/or branch ducts and may be associated with invasive carcinoma. Predicting the risk of malignant transformation of an IPMN lesion can be challenging. The Sendai criteria, based in large part on radiographic imaging features, help guide surgical intervention based on the stratification of cysts into high and low risk lesions for malignancy. Invasive carcinoma may develop in the index IPMN lesion or in a separate site within the pancreas, supporting the concept of a field defect in IPMN tumorigenesis. This stresses the importance of evaluation of the entire pancreas upon diagnosis of IPMN and continued surveillance of the residual pancreas following resection. Herein, the authors summarize the data presented at the 2012 ASCO Gastrointestinal Cancers Symposium regarding prevalence and site of invasive carcinoma detected in patients undergoing surveillance for IPMN (Abstract #152).

What Did We Know Before the 2012 GI Cancers Symposium?

The diagnosis of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMNs) has increased markedly in the past decade in large part due to the widespread use of high resolution imaging [1]. In recent years, increasing numbers of asymptomatic branch duct IPMNs are noted incidentally on CT or MRI scans [2, 3]. IPMNs represent a heterogeneous group of neoplasms that can be classified radiographically with respect to anatomic location (main duct *versus* branch duct), and pathologically with respect to grade of dysplasia and histologic subtype. IPMNs show a wide spectrum of biological behavior. IPMNs involving the main duct have a higher risk of associated carcinoma (60-80%)

Key words Carcinoma, Pancreatic Ductal; Early Detection of Cancer; Pancreatic Cyst; Pancreatic Neoplasms; Population Surveillance **Abbreviations** *GNAS*: GNAS complex locus; IPMN: intraductal

papillary mucinous neoplasm; *KRAS*: v-Ki-ras2 Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog

Correspondence Helen Elaine Remotti

Department of Pathology and Cell Biology; Columbia University Medical Center; VC14-215, 630 W. 168th St.; NewYork, NY 10032; USA Phone: +1-212.305.6719; Fax: +1-212.305.2301 E-mail: her2007@columbia.edu compared to branch duct IPMNs demonstrating malignancy in fewer than 20% of cases [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9].

When identified, IPMNs represent an opportunity for early recognition or outright prevention of pancreatic cancer. It is well accepted that main duct IPMNs and a subgroup of branch duct IPMNs with features outlined in the International Consensus Guidelines should be resected [4].

Update from the 2012 GI Cancers Symposium

Malignant Progression in Intraductal Papillary Mucinous Neoplasms of the Pancreas: Results of 157 Patients Selected for Radiographic Surveillance (Abstract #152 [10])

LaFemina *et al.* analyzed the prevalence and site of invasive pancreatic cancers developing within a surveillance cohort of IPMNs which included both high- and low-risk tumors. In this study over a five year interval (1995-2010), 157 patients with pancreatic cysts diagnosed as IPMNs (pathologic confirmation or CEA equal to, or greater than, 200 ng/mL) were followed for at least 6 months after diagnosis. Subsequent resection was performed in 97 patients, of which 40 had either carcinoma *in-situ* or invasive carcinoma.



Figure 1. Summary of clinical data in Abstract #152 [10].

The surveillance group in this study was composed of a heterogeneous population of IPMNs that included tumors at high-risk for harboring malignancy (either main duct involvement or other high-risk features). It may be possible that a significant percentage of the patients ultimately undergoing resection in this series represented those patients with high-risk IPMNs, since 40/97 (41%) had carcinoma in-situ or invasive carcinoma present in the resection specimen. Of the 18 patients with invasive carcinoma, 13 (72%) had main duct IPMN or combined duct IPMN and 5/18 (28%) had branch duct IPMN (Figure 1). The pathologic findings in the IPMN specimens show that while the majority (14/18, 78%) of invasive carcinomas were associated with the index IPMN lesion, a small but significant subset of invasive carcinomas (4/18, 22%) involved a region of the pancreas distinct from the radiographically identified lesion for which surveillance was recommended. This study supports the concept that the presence of IPMN in the pancreas increases cancer risk not only within the IPMN cystic lesion but within the entire pancreas.

Discussion

The diagnosis and treatment of IPMNs of the pancreas have evolved over the last decade. The current guidelines for assessing malignant potential in IPMNs are largely based on the imaging characteristics of the cysts in conjunction with the clinical symptoms of the patient. The International Consensus Guidelines for the management of IPMN or "Sendai criteria" (Table 1) were not based on long term follow up of branch duct IPMN patients [4]. Instead the criteria were based on the best available retrospective data documenting the extremely low incidence of invasive radiologic features in branch duct IPMNs with a cyst size of less than 3 cm, and without mural nodules or main-duct dilation.

Appropriate treatment rests on accurate radiologic characterization of the cystic lesion, in conjunction with biochemical, histopathological, or molecular evaluation to guide management and estimate malignant potential of the IPMN tumor [11, 12, 13]. In view of the heterogeneity of tumor cells within the lesions the most important variable in pathologic diagnosis involves representative sampling of the lesion. The most challenging issue is predicting the clinical behavior and risk of malignancy with limited sampling of tissue or cyst fluid that may not reflect the changes throughout the lesion. To date there is no reliable surveillance protocol to identify an early invasive carcinoma in IPMN or a clinical model that can predict the time frame for cancer progression in IPMN.

It is well recognized that IPMNs are commonly multifocal [9, 14, 15]. In view of the multifocality demonstrated by the lesions, evaluation of the dominant cyst may not provide informative data regarding tumor development in separate cysts or in the remaining non-cystic pancreatic tissue. The concept of a field defect is well characterized in other tumor types (e.g., urothelial carcinoma, colonic carcinoma) and it is common for at-risk individuals to be subjected to routine surveillance of the entire organ at frequent intervals. Surveillance of the pancreas, however, is far more difficult than surveillance of other organ systems. Once an IPMN is present in the pancreas the entire organ is at increased risk for developing carcinoma. To date there have been several studies of patients with IPMNs that developed synchronous or metachronous invasive adenocarcinoma. Several studies have documented the presence of invasive carcinoma identified in an area of the pancreas distinct from the index IPMN lesion undergoing surveillance [16, 17, 18]. Tanno et al. identified invasive carcinoma in 9 (5%) of 168 patients with IPMN. Five were synchronous lesions, detected at the time of branch duct IPMN diagnosis, whereas four were metachronous lesions, identified during the follow-up period. All invasive carcinomas occurred in regions separate from the branch duct IPMN lesion. All branch duct IPMNs were diagnosed as adenoma [18]. Uehara et al. followed 60 patients with branch duct IPMN with main duct size less than 10 mm. Pancreatic cancer developed in 7/60 (12%) of these patients after 18-112 months of follow-up. In 5 of 7 patients (71%) the invasive carcinoma was separate from the index cystic lesion [19].

In patients who have undergone resection for IPMN with negative margins it is also important to survey for synchronous tumor development; invasive carcinoma developing in the remnant pancreas has been documented. Miller *et al.* followed 153 patients who underwent resection for IPMN with negative resection

 Table 1. Summary of International Consensus Guidelines (Sendai criteria) for branch duct IPMN resection [4].

Size greater than 3 cm ^a Symptomatic Positive high-risk imaging features: - Mural nodules - Dilated main duct Positive cytology ^a Size is least reliable criterion margins. During a mean follow-up of 73 months, 31/153 (20%) developed a new radiographic lesion consistent with IPMN and three of these patients were found to have invasive cancer [20].

Several molecular studies of IPMNs support the concept of a field defect and show evidence that multifocal IPMNs are clonally distinct from each other. Matthaei et al. assessed the clonal relationships of 30 multifocal IPMNs arising in 13 patients [21]. The majority of multifocal IPMNs were composed of branch duct lesions, and typically demonstrated a gastric-foveolar epithelium with low or intermediate grades of dysplasia. KRAS mutation analysis and loss of heterozygosity (LOH) analysis on chromosomes 6q and 17p were performed on all IPMNs. Molecular analysis of multiple IPMNs from 13 patients demonstrated non-overlapping KRAS gene mutations in 8 patients (62%) and discordant loss of heterozygosity profiles in 7 patients (54%); independent genetic alterations were established in 9 of the 13 patients (69%). From this study it appears that the majority of multifocal IPMNs arise independently and exhibit a gastric-foveolar subtype, with low to intermediate dysplasia [21]. These findings confirm evidence of molecular heterogeneity in multifocal IPMN lesions involving early low-grade neoplasms.

studies have also shown molecular Several heterogeneity within a given IPMN tumor, with multiple subclones identified by the presence of several different KRAS mutations. Few studies to date have characterized molecular changes within IPMNs and synchronous or metachronous invasive carcinomas [22, 23]. KRAS mutations are present in 30-80% of IPMNs with higher percentages associated with higher grade lesions. GNAS mutations of codon 201 which are unique to IPMNs have been detected in more than 60% of IPMNs [23, 24]. Wu et al. found concordant GNAS mutations in IPMNs and synchronous invasive carcinomas in 7 of 8 cases (88%) [23]. Lubezky et al. found concordant KRAS mutations in IPMNs and synchronous invasive carcinomas in 9 of 14 cases (64%) [22]. In these studies *KRAS* or *GNAS* mutations were not detected within histologically normal appearing tissue.

We are only beginning to understand the biology of IPMN tumorigenesis. Current molecular studies highlight the concept that neoplastic changes in IPMNs involve alterations that are not only identified in the cystic lesions but affect the entire pancreas and are heterogeneous in nature. The presence of an IPMN lesion in the pancreas places the entire organ at increased risk for developing carcinoma, and surveillance of the entire pancreas or continued surveillance of the remnant pancreas is warranted in order to detect an invasive carcinoma arising in a separate area of the pancreas. A multidisciplinary approach involving gastroenterologists, surgeons, radiologists and pathologists is central to the optimal management of these patients. **Conflict of interest** The authors have no potential conflicts of interest

References

1. Pedrosa I, Boparai D. Imaging considerations in intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas. World J Gastrointest Surg 2010; 2: 324-330.

2. Correa-Gallego C, Ferrone CR, Thayer SP, Wargo JA, Warshaw AL, et al. Incidental pancreatic cysts: do we really know what we are watching? Pancreatology 2010; 10: 144-150.

3. Ferrone CR, Correa-Gallego C, Warshaw AL, Brugge WR, Forcione DG, et al. Current trends in pancreatic cystic neoplasms. Arch Surg 2009; 144: 448-454.

4. Tanaka M, Chari S, Adsay V, Fernandez-del Castillo C, Falconi M, et al. International consensus guidelines for management of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms and mucinous cystic neoplasms of the pancreas. Pancreatology 2006; 6: 17-32.

5. Adsay NV, Fukushima N, Furukawa T, Hruban RH, Klimstra DS, et al. Intraductal neoplasms of the pancreas. In: Bosman FT, Carneiro F, Hruban RH, Theise ND, editors. WHO Classification of Tumours of the Digestive System. Lyon: IARC. 2010, pp. 304-313.

6. Sohn TA, Yeo CJ, Cameron JL, Hruban RH, Fukushima N, et al. Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas: an updated experience. Ann Surg 2004; 239: 788-797; discussion 797-789.

7. Schnelldorfer T, Sarr MG, Nagorney DM, Zhang L, Smyrk TC, et al. Experience with 208 resections for intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the pancreas. Arch Surg 2008; 143: 639-646; discussion 646.

8. Schmidt CM, White PB, Waters JA, Yiannoutsos CT, Cummings OW, et al. Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms: predictors of malignant and invasive pathology. Ann Surg 2007; 246: 644-651; discussion 651-644.

9. Salvia R, Partelli S, Crippa S, Landoni L, Capelli P, et al. Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas with multifocal involvement of branch ducts. Am J Surg 2009; 198: 709-714.

10. LaFemina J GS, D'Angelica MI, Jarnagin WR, Katabi N, Do KG, Brennan MF, Allen PJ. Malignant progression in intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas: Results of 157 patients selected for radiographic surveillance. J Clin Oncol 2012; 30: Abstract 152.

11. Khalid A, McGrath KM, Zahid M, Wilson M, Brody D, et al. The role of pancreatic cyst fluid molecular analysis in predicting cyst pathology. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2005; 3: 967-973.

12. Khalid A, Zahid M, Finkelstein SD, LeBlanc JK, Kaushik N, et al. Pancreatic cyst fluid DNA analysis in evaluating pancreatic cysts: a report of the PANDA study. Gastrointest Endosc 2009; 69: 1095-1102.

13. Brugge WR, Lewandrowski K, Lee-Lewandrowski E, Centeno BA, Szydlo T, et al. Diagnosis of pancreatic cystic neoplasms: a report of the cooperative pancreatic cyst study. Gastroenterology 2004; 126: 1330-1336.

14. Pelaez-Luna M, Chari ST, Smyrk TC, Takahashi N, Clain JE, et al. Do consensus indications for resection in branch duct intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm predict malignancy? A study of 147 patients. Am J Gastroenterol 2007; 102: 1759-1764.

15. Waters JA, Schmidt CM. Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm--when to resect? Adv Surg 2008; 42: 87-108.

16. Kobayashi G, Fujita N, Noda Y, Ito K, Horaguchi J, et al. Mode of progression of intraductal papillary-mucinous tumor of the pancreas: analysis of patients with follow-up by EUS. J Gastroenterol 2005; 40: 744-751.

17. Ingkakul T, Sadakari Y, Ienaga J, Satoh N, Takahata S, et al. Predictors of the presence of concomitant invasive ductal carcinoma in intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the pancreas. Ann Surg 2010; 251: 70-75.

18. Tanno S, Nakano Y, Sugiyama Y, Nakamura K, Sasajima J, et al. Incidence of synchronous and metachronous pancreatic carcinoma in 168 patients with branch duct intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm. Pancreatology 2010; 10: 173-178.

19. Uehara H, Nakaizumi A, Ishikawa O, Iishi H, Tatsumi K, et al. Development of ductal carcinoma of the pancreas during follow-up of branch duct intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the pancreas. Gut 2008; 57: 1561-1565.

20. Miller JR, Meyer JE, Waters JA, Al-Haddad M, Dewitt J, et al. Outcome of the pancreatic remnant following segmental pancreatectomy for non-invasive intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm. HPB (Oxford) 2011; 13: 759-766.

21. Matthaei H, Norris AL, Tsiatis AC, Olino K, Hong SM, et al. Clinicopathological Characteristics and Molecular Analyses of Multifocal Intraductal Papillary Mucinous Neoplasms of the Pancreas. Ann Surg 2012; 255: 326-333.

22. Lubezky N, Ben-Haim M, Marmor S, Brazowsky E, Rechavi G, et al. High-throughput mutation profiling in intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm (IPMN). J Gastrointest Surg 2011; 15: 503-511.

23. Wu J, Matthaei H, Maitra A, Dal Molin M, Wood LD, et al. Recurrent GNAS mutations define an unexpected pathway for pancreatic cyst development. Sci Transl Med 2011; 3: 92ra66.

24. Wu J, Jiao Y, Dal Molin M, Maitra A, de Wilde RF, et al. Wholeexome sequencing of neoplastic cysts of the pancreas reveals recurrent mutations in components of ubiquitin-dependent pathways. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2011; 108: 21188-21193.