TeMA

Journal of Land Use, Mobility and Environment

This special issue collects a selection of peer-review papers presented at the 8th International Conference INPUT 2014 titled "Smart City: planning for energy, transportation and sustainability of urban systems", held on 4-6 June in Naples, Italy. The issue includes recent developments on the theme of relationship between innovation and city management and planning.

Tema is the Journal of Land use, Mobility and Environment and offers papers with a unified approach to planning and mobility. TeMA Journal has also received the Sparc Europe Seal of Open Access Journals released by Scholarly Publishing and Academic Resources Coalition (SPARC Europe) and the Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ).

Smart City planning for energy, transportation and sustainability of the urban system

Special issue, June 2014

print ISSN 1970-9889 e-ISSN 1970-9870 University of Naples Federico II

SMART CITY

PLANNING FOR ENERGY, TRANSPORTATION AND SUSTAINABILITY OF THE URBAN SYSTEM

Special Issue, June 2014

Published by

Laboratory of Land Use Mobility and Environment DICEA - Department of Civil, Architectural and Environmental Engineering University of Naples "Federico II"

TeMA is realised by CAB - Center for Libraries at "Federico II" University of Naples using Open Journal System

Editor-in-chief: Rocco Papa print ISSN 1970-9889 | on line ISSN 1970-9870 Lycence: Cancelleria del Tribunale di Napoli, n° 6 of 29/01/2008

Editorial correspondence Laboratory of Land Use Mobility and Environment DICEA - Department of Civil, Architectural and Environmental Engineering University of Naples "Federico II" Piazzale Tecchio, 80 80125 Naples web: www.tema.unina.it e-mail: redazione.tema@unina.it

TeMA. Journal of Land Use, Mobility and Environment offers researches, applications and contributions with a unified approach to planning and mobility and publishes original inter-disciplinary papers on the interaction of transport, land use and environment. Domains include engineering, planning, modeling, behavior, economics, geography, regional science, sociology, architecture and design, network science, and complex systems.

The Italian National Agency for the Evaluation of Universities and Research Institutes (ANVUR) classified TeMA as scientific journals in the Areas 08. TeMA has also received the Sparc Europe Seal for Open Access Journals released by Scholarly Publishing and Academic Resources Coalition (SPARC Europe) and the Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ). TeMA is published under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License and is blind peer reviewed at least by two referees selected among high-profile scientists by their competences. TeMA has been published since 2007 and is indexed in the main bibliographical databases and it is present in the catalogues of hundreds of academic and research libraries worldwide.

EDITOR- IN-CHIEF

Rocco Papa, Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Italy

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

Luca Bertolini, Universiteit van Amsterdam, Netherlands Virgilio Bettini, Università Iuav di Venezia, Italy Dino Borri, Politecnico di Bari, Italy Enrique Calderon, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain Roberto Camagni, Politecnico di Milano, Italy Robert Leonardi, London School of Economics and Political Science, United Kingdom Raffaella Nanetti, College of Urban Planning and Public Affairs, United States Agostino Nuzzolo, Università degli Studi di Roma Tor Vergata, Italy Rocco Papa, Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Italy

Editors

Agostino Nuzzolo, Università degli Studi di Roma Tor Vergata, Italy Enrique Calderon, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain Luca Bertolini, Universiteit van Amsterdam, Netherlands Romano Fistola, Dept. of Engineering - University of Sannio - Italy, Italy Adriana Galderisi, Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Italy Carmela Gargiulo, Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Italy Giuseppe Mazzeo, CNR - Istituto per gli Studi sulle Società del Mediterraneo, Italy

EDITORIAL SECRETARY

Rosaria Battarra, CNR - Istituto per gli Studi sulle Società del Mediterraneo, Italy Andrea Ceudech, TeMALab, Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Italy Rosa Anna La Rocca, TeMALab, Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Italy Enrica Papa, University of Amsterdam, Netherlands

This special issue of TeMA collects the papers presented at the 8th International Conference INPUT 2014 which will take place in Naple's from 4th to 6th June. The Conference focuses on one of the central topics within the urban studies debate and combines, in a new perspective, researches concerning the relationship between innovation and management of city changing.

CONFERENCE COMMITTEE

Dino Borri, Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy Arnaldo Cecchini, University of Sassari, Italy Romano Fistola, University of Sannio, Italy Lilli Gargiulo, University of Naples Federico II, Italy Giuseppe B. Las Casas, University of Basilicata, Italy Agostino Nuzzolo, University of Rome, Italy Rocco Papa, University of Naples Federico II, Italy Giovanni Rabino, Polytechnic University of Milan, Italy Maurizio Tira, University of Brescia, Italy Corrado Zoppi, University of Cagliari, Italy

SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

Emanuela Abis, University of Cagliari, Italy Nicola Bellini, Institute of Management, Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna Pisa, Italy Mariolina Besio Dominici, University of Genoa, Italy Ivan Blecic, University of Sassari, Italy Dino Borri, Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy Grazia Brunetta, Polytechnic University of Turin, Italy Roberto Busi, University of Brescia, Italy Domenico Camarda, Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy Michele Campagna, University of Cagliari, Italy Arnaldo Cecchini, University of Sassari, Italy Donatella Cialdea, University of Molise, Italy Valerio Cutini, University of Pisa, Italy, Italy Luciano De Bonis, University of Molise, Italy Andrea De Montis, University of Sassari, Italy Filippo de Rossi, University of Sannio (Dean of the University of Sannio), Italy Lidia Diappi, Polytechnic University of Milan, Italy Isidoro Fasolino, University of Salerno, Italy Mariano Gallo, University of Sannio, Italy Lilli Gargiulo, University of Naples Federico II, Italy Roberto Gerundo, University of Salerno, Italy Paolo La Greca, University of Catania, Italy Giuseppe B. Las Casas, University of Basilicata, Italy Robert Laurini, University of Lyon, France Antonio Leone, Tuscia University, Italy Anna Loffredo, Institute of Management, Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna Pisa, Italy Silvana Lombardo, University of Pisa, Italy Giovanni Maciocco, University of Sassari, Italy Giulio Maternini, University of Brescia, Italy



Francesco Domenico Moccia, University of Naples Federico II, Italy Bruno Montella, University of Naples "Federico II" (Director of DICEA), Italy Beniamino Murgante, University of Basilicata, Italy Agostino Nuzzolo, University of Rome, Italy Sylvie Occelli, IRES Turin, Italy Rocco Papa, University of Naples Federico II, Italy Maria Paradiso, University of Sannio, Italy Domenico Patassini, IUAV, Venice, Italy Michele Pezzagno, University of Brescia, Italy Fulvia Pinto, Polytechnic University of Milan, Italy Giovanni Rabino, Polytechnic University of Milan, Italy Giuseppe Roccasalva, Polytechnic University of Turin, Italy Bernardino Romano, University of L'Aquila, Italy Francesco Russo, Mediterranean University Reggio Calabria, Italy Michelangelo Russo, University of Naples Federico II, Italy Ferdinando Semboloni, University of Firenze, Italy Agata Spaziante, Polytechnic University of Turin, Italy Michela Tiboni, University of Brescia, Italy Maurizio Tira, University of Brescia, Italy Simona Tondelli, University of Bologna, Italy Umberto Villano, University of Sannio (Director of DING), Italy Ignazio Vinci, University of Palermo, Italy Corrado Zoppi, University of Cagliari, Italy

LOCAL SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

Rosaria Battarra, ISSM, National Research Council, Italy Romano Fistola, DING, University of Sannio, Italy Lilli Gargiulo, DICEA, University of Naples Federico II, Italy Adriana Galderisi, DICEA, University of Naples Federico II, Italy Rosa Anna La Rocca, DICEA, University of Naples Federico II, Italy Giuseppe Mazzeo, ISSM, National Research Council, Italy Enrica Papa, University of Amsterdam, Netherlands

LOCAL ADMINISTRATIVE TEAM

Gennaro Angiello, TeMA Lab, University of Naples Federico II, Italy Gerardo Carpentieri, TeMA Lab, University of Naples Federico II, Italy Stefano Franco, TeMA Lab, University of Naples Federico II, Italy Laura Russo, TeMA Lab, University of Naples Federico II, Italy Floriana Zucaro, TeMA Lab, University of Naples Federico II, Italy

FIGHTH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE INPUT 2014

SMART CITY. PLANNING FOR ENERGY, TRANSPORTATION AND SUSTAINABILITY OF THE **URBAN SYSTEM**

This special issue of TeMA collects the papers presented at the Eighth International Conference INPUT, 2014, titled "Smart City. Planning for energy, transportation and sustainability of the urban system" that takes place in Naples from 4 to 6 of June 2014.

INPUT (Innovation in Urban Planning and Territorial) consists of an informal group/network of academic researchers Italians and foreigners working in several areas related to urban and territorial planning. Starting from the first conference, held in Venice in 1999, INPUT has represented an opportunity to reflect on the use of Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) as key planning support tools. The theme of the eighth conference focuses on one of the most topical debate of urban studies that combines, in a new perspective, researches concerning the relationship between innovation (technological, methodological, of process etc..) and the management of the changes of the city. The Smart City is also currently the most investigated subject by TeMA that with this number is intended to provide a broad overview of the research activities currently in place in Italy and a number of European countries. Naples, with its tradition of studies in this particular research field, represents the best place to review progress on what is being done and try to identify some structural elements of a planning approach.

Furthermore the conference has represented the ideal space of mind comparison and ideas exchanging about a number of topics like: planning support systems, models to geo-design, gualitative cognitive models and formal ontologies, smart mobility and urban transport, Visualization and spatial perception in urban planning innovative processes for urban regeneration, smart city and smart citizen, the Smart Energy Master project, urban entropy and evaluation in urban planning, etc..

The conference INPUT Naples 2014 were sent 84 papers, through a computerized procedure using the website www.input2014.it . The papers were subjected to a series of monitoring and control operations. The first fundamental phase saw the submission of the papers to reviewers. To enable a blind procedure the papers have been checked in advance, in order to eliminate any reference to the authors. The review was carried out on a form set up by the local scientific committee. The review forms received were sent to the authors who have adapted the papers, in a more or less extensive way, on the base of the received comments. At this point (third stage), the new version of the paper was subjected to control for to standardize the content to the layout required for the publication within TeMA. In parallel, the Local Scientific Committee, along with the Editorial Board of the magazine, has provided to the technical operation on the site TeMA (insertion of data for the indexing and insertion of pdf version of the papers). In the light of the time's shortness and of the high number of contributions the Local Scientific Committee decided to publish the papers by applying some simplifies compared with the normal procedures used by TeMA. Specifically:

- Each paper was equipped with cover, TeMA Editorial Advisory Board, INPUT Scientific Committee, introductory page of INPUT 2014 and summary;
- Summary and sorting of the papers are in alphabetical order, based on the surname of the first author;
- Each paper is indexed with own DOI codex which can be found in the electronic version on TeMA website (www.tema.unina.it). The codex is not present on the pdf version of the papers.

SMART CITY PLANNING FOR ENERGY, TRANSPORTATION AND SUSTAINABILITY OF THE URBAN SYSTEM Special Issue, June 2014

Contents

1.	The Plan in Addressing the Post Shock Conflicts 2009-2014. A First Balance Sheet of the Reconstruction of L'Aquila Fabio Andreassi, Pierluigi Properzi	1-13
2.	Assessment on the Expansion of Basic Sanitation Infrastructure. In the Metropolitan Area of Belo Horizonte - 2000/2010 Grazielle Anjos Carvalho	15-26
3.	Temporary Dwelling of Social Housing in Turin. New Responses to Housing Discomfort Giulia Baù, Luisa Ingaramo	27-37
4.	Smart Communities. Social Innovation at the Service of the Smart Cities Massimiliano Bencardino, Ilaria Greco	39-51
5.	Online Citizen Reporting on Urban Maintenance: A Collection, Evaluation and Decision Support System Ivan Blečić, Dario Canu, Arnaldo Cecchini, Giuseppe Andrea Trunfio	53-63
6.	Walkability Explorer. An Evaluation and Design Support Tool for Walkability Ivan Blečić, Arnaldo Cecchini, Tanja Congiu, Giovanna Fancello, Giuseppe Andrea Trunfio	65-76
7.	Diachronic Analysis of Parking Usage: The Case Study of Brescia Riccardo Bonotti, Silvia Rossetti, Michela Tiboni, Maurizio Tira	77-85
8.	Crowdsourcing. A Citizen Participation Challenge Júnia Borges, Camila Zyngier	87-96
9.	Spatial Perception and Cognition Review. Considering Geotechnologies as Urban Planning Strategy Júnia Borges, Camila Zyngier, Karen Lourenço, Jonatha Santos	97-108

10.	Dilemmas in the Analysis of Technological Change. A Cognitive Approach to Understand Innovation and Change in the Water Sector Dino Borri, Laura Grassini	109-127
11.	Learning and Sharing Technology in Informal Contexts. A Multiagent-Based Ontological Approach Dino Borri, Domenico Camarda, Laura Grassini, Mauro Patano	129-140
12.	Smartness and Italian Cities. A Cluster Analysis Flavio Boscacci, Ila Maltese, Ilaria Mariotti	141-152
13.	Beyond Defining the Smart City. Meeting Top-Down and Bottom-Up Approaches in the Middle Jonas Breuer, Nils Walravens, Pieter Ballon	153-164
14.	Resilience Through Ecological Network Grazia Brunetta, Angioletta Voghera	165-173
15.	ITS System to Manage Parking Supply: Considerations on Application to the "Ring" in the City of Brescia Susanna Bulferetti, Francesca Ferrari, Stefano Riccardi	175-186
16.	Formal Ontologies and Uncertainty. In Geographical Knowledge Matteo Caglioni, Giovanni Fusco	187-198
17.	Geodesign From Theory to Practice: In the Search for Geodesign Principles in Italian Planning Regulations Michele Campagna, Elisabetta Anna Di Cesare	199-210
18.	Geodesign from Theory to Practice: From Metaplanning to 2nd Generation of Planning Support Systems Michele Campagna	211-221
19.	The Energy Networks Landscape. Impacts on Rural Land in the Molise Region Donatella Cialdea, Alessandra Maccarone	223-234
20.	Marginality Phenomena and New Uses on the Agricultural Land. Diachronic and Spatial Analyses of the Molise Coastal Area Donatella Cialdea, Luigi Mastronardi	235-245
21.	Spatial Analysis of Urban Squares. 'Siccome Umbellico al corpo dell'uomo' Valerio Cutini	247-258

22.	Co-Creative, Re-Generative Smart Cities. Smart Cities and Planning in a Living Lab Perspective 2 Luciano De Bonis, Grazia Concilio, Eugenio Leanza, Jesse Marsh, Ferdinando Trapani	259-270
23.	The Model of Voronoi's Polygons and Density: Diagnosis of Spatial Distribution of Education Services of EJA in Divinópolis, Minas Gerais, Brazil Diogo De Castro Guadalupe, Ana Clara Mourão Moura	271-283
24.	Rural Architectural Intensification: A Multidisciplinar Planning Tool Roberto De Lotto, Tiziano Cattaneo, Cecilia Morelli Di Popolo, Sara Morettini, Susanna Sturla, Elisabetta Venco	285-295
25.	Landscape Planning and Ecological Networks. Part A. A Rural System in Nuoro, Sardinia Andrea De Montis, Maria Antonietta Bardi, Amedeo Ganciu, Antonio Ledda, Simone Caschili, Maurizio Mulas, Leonarda Dessena, Giuseppe Modica, Luigi Laudari, Carmelo Riccardo Fichera	297-307
26.	Landscape Planning and Ecological Networks. Part B. A Rural System in Nuoro, Sardinia Andrea De Montis, Maria Antonietta Bardi, Amedeo Ganciu, Antonio Ledda, Simone Caschili, Maurizio Mulas, Leonarda Dessena, Giuseppe Modica, Luigi Laudari, Carmelo Riccardo Fichera	309-320
27.	Sea Guidelines. A Comparative Analysis: First Outcomes Andrea De Montis, Antonio Ledda, Simone Caschili, Amedeo Ganciu, Mario Barra, Gianluca Cocco, Agnese Marcus	321-330
28.	Energy And Environment in Urban Regeneration. Studies for a Method of Analysis of Urban Periphery Paolo De Pascali, Valentina Alberti, Daniela De Ioris, Michele Reginaldi	331-339
29.	Achieving Smart Energy Planning Objectives. The Approach of the Transform Project Ilaria Delponte	341-351
30.	From a Smart City to a Smart Up-Country. The New City-Territory of L'Aquila Donato Di Ludovico, Pierluigi Properzi, Fabio Graziosi	353-364
31.	Geovisualization Tool on Urban Quality. Interactive Tool for Urban Planning Enrico Eynard, Marco Santangelo, Matteo Tabasso	365-375

32.	Visual Impact in the Urban Environment. The Case of Out-of-Scale Buildings Enrico Fabrizio, Gabriele Garnero	377-388
33.	Smart Dialogue for Smart Citizens: Assertive Approaches for Strategic Planning Isidoro Fasolino, Maria Veronica Izzo	389-401
34.	Digital Social Networks and Urban Spaces Pablo Vieira Florentino, Maria Célia Furtado Rocha, Gilberto Corso Pereira	403-415
35.	Social Media Geographic Information in Tourism Planning Roberta Floris, Michele Campagna	417-430
36.	Re-Use/Re-Cycle Territories: A Retroactive Conceptualisation for East Naples Enrico Formato, Michelangelo Russo	431-440
37.	Urban Land Uses and Smart Mobility Mauro Francini, Annunziata Palermo, Maria Francesca Viapiana	441-452
38.	The Design of Signalised Intersections at Area Level. Models and Methods Mariano Gallo, Giuseppina De Luca, Luca D'acierno	453-464
39.	Piano dei Servizi. Proposal for Contents and Guidelines Roberto Gerundo, Gabriella Graziuso	465-476
40.	Social Housing in Urban Regeneration. Regeneration Heritage Existing Building: Methods and Strategies Maria Antonia Giannino, Ferdinando Orabona	477-486
41.	Using GIS to Record and Analyse Historical Urban Areas Maria Giannopoulou, Athanasios P. Vavatsikos, Konstantinos Lykostratis, Anastasia Roukouni	487-497
42.	Network Screening for Smarter Road Sites: A Regional Case Attila Grieco, Chiara Montaldo, Sylvie Occelli, Silvia Tarditi	499-509
43.	Li-Fi for a Digital Urban Infrastructure: A Novel Technology for the Smart City Corrado Iannucci, Fabrizio Pini	511-522
44.	Open Spaces and Urban Ecosystem Services. Cooling Effect towards Urban Planning in South American Cities Luis Inostroza	523-534

45.	From RLP to SLP: Two Different Approaches to Landscape Planning Federica Isola, Cheti Pira	535-543
46.	Revitalization and its Impact on Public. Space Organization A Case Study of Manchester in UK, Lyon in France and Łódź in Poland Jarosław Kazimierczak	545-556
47.	Geodesign for Urban Ecosystem Services Daniele La Rosa	557-565
48.	An Ontology of Implementation Plans of Historic Centers: A Case Study Concerning Sardinia, Italy Sabrina Lai, Corrado Zoppi	567-579
49.	Open Data for Territorial Specialization Assessment. Territorial Specialization in Attracting Local Development Funds: an Assessment. Procedure Based on Open Data and Open Tools Giuseppe Las Casas, Silvana Lombardo, Beniamino Murgante, Piergiuseppe Pontrandolfi, Francesco Scorza	581-595
50.	Sustainability And Planning. Thinking and Acting According to Thermodinamics Laws Antonio Leone, Federica Gobattoni, Raffaele Pelorosso	597-606
51.	Strategic Planning of Municipal Historic Centers. A Case Study Concerning Sardinia, Italy Federica Leone, Corrado Zoppi	607-619
52.	A GIS Approach to Supporting Nightlife Impact Management: The Case of Milan Giorgio Limonta	621-632
53.	Dealing with Resilience Conceptualisation. Formal Ontologies as a Tool for Implementation of Intelligent Geographic Information Systems Giampiero Lombardini	633-644
54.	Social Media Geographic Information: Recent Findings and Opportunities for Smart Spatial Planning Pierangelo Massa, Michele Campagna	645-658
55.	Zero Emission Mobility Systems in Cities. Inductive Recharge System Planning in Urban Areas Giulio Maternini, Stefano Riccardi, Margherita Cadei	659-669

56.	Urban Labelling: Resilience and Vulnerability as Key Concepts for a Sustainable Planning Giuseppe Mazzeo	671-682
57.	Defining Smart City. A Conceptual Framework Based on Keyword Analysis Farnaz Mosannenzadeh, Daniele Vettorato	683-694
58.	Parametric Modeling of Urban Landscape: Decoding the Brasilia of Lucio Costa from Modernism to Present Days Ana Clara Moura, Suellen Ribeiro, Isadora Correa, Bruno Braga	695-708
59 .	Smart Mediterranean Logics. Old-New Dimensions and Transformations of Territories and Cites-Ports in Mediterranean Emanuela Nan	709-718
60.	Mapping Smart Regions. An Exploratory Approach Sylvie Occelli, Alessandro Sciullo	719-728
61.	Planning Un-Sustainable Development of Mezzogiorno. Methods and Strategies for Planning Human Sustainable Development Ferdinando Orabona, Maria Antonia Giannino	729-736
62.	The Factors Influencing Transport Energy Consumption in Urban Areas: a Review Rocco Papa, Carmela Gargiulo, Gennaro Angiello	737-747
63.	Integrated Urban System and Energy Consumption Model: Residential Buildings Rocco Papa, Carmela Gargiulo, Gerardo Carpentieri	749-758
64.	Integrated Urban System and Energy Consumption Model: Public and Singular Buildings Rocco Papa, Carmela Gargiulo, Mario Cristiano	759-770
65.	Urban Smartness Vs Urban Competitiveness: A Comparison of Italian Cities Rankings Rocco Papa, Carmela Gargiulo, Stefano Franco, Laura Russo	771-782
66.	Urban Systems and Energy Consumptions: A Critical Approach Rocco Papa, Carmela Gargiulo, Floriana Zucaro	783-792
67.	Climate Change and Energy Sustainability. Which Innovations in European Strategies and Plans Rocco Papa, Carmela Gargiulo, Floriana Zucaro	793-804

68.	Bio-Energy Connectivity And Ecosystem Services. An Assessment by Pandora 3.0 Model for Land Use Decision Making Raffaele Pelorosso, Federica Gobattoni, Francesco Geri, Roberto Monaco, Antonio Leone	805-816
69.	Entropy and the City. GHG Emissions Inventory: a Common Baseline for the Design of Urban and Industrial Ecologies Michele Pezzagno, Marco Rosini	817-828
70.	Urban Planning and Climate Change: Adaptation and Mitigation Strategies Fulvia Pinto	829-840
71.	Urban Gaming Simulation for Enhancing Disaster Resilience. A Social Learning Tool for Modern Disaster Risk Management Sarunwit Promsaka Na Sakonnakron, Pongpisit Huyakorn, Paola Rizzi	841-851
72.	Visualisation as a Model. Overview on Communication Techniques in Transport and Urban Planning Giovanni Rabino, Elena Masala	853-862
73.	Ontologies and Methods of Qualitative Research in Urban Planning Giovanni Rabino	863-869
74.	City/Sea Searching for a New Connection. Regeneration Proposal for Naples Waterfront Like an Harbourscape: Comparing Three Case Studies Michelangelo Russo, Enrico Formato	871-882
75.	Sensitivity Assessment. Localization of Road Transport Infrastructures in the Province of Lucca Luisa Santini, Serena Pecori	883-895
76.	Creating Smart Urban Landscapes. A Multimedia Platform for Placemaking Marichela Sepe	897-907
77.	Virtual Power Plant. Environmental Technology Management Tools of The Settlement Processes Maurizio Sibilla	909-920
78.	Ecosystem Services and Border Regions. Case Study from Czech – Polish Borderland Marcin Spyra	921-932
79.	The Creative Side of the Reflective Planner. Updating the Schön's Findings Maria Rosaria Stufano Melone, Giovanni Rabino	933-940

80.	Achieving People Friendly Accessibility. Key Concepts and a Case Study Overview Michela Tiboni, Silvia Rossetti	941-951
81.	Planning Pharmacies: An Operational Method to Find the Best Location Simona Tondelli, Stefano Fatone	953-963
82.	Transportation Infrastructure Impacts Evaluation: The Case of Egnatia Motorway in Greece Athanasios P. Vavatsikos, Maria Giannopoulou	965-975
83.	Designing Mobility in a City in Transition. Challenges from the Case of Palermo Ignazio Vinci, Salvatore Di Dio	977-988
84.	Considerations on the Use of Visual Tools in Planning Processes: A Brazilian Experience Camila Zyngier, Stefano Pensa, Elena Masala	989-998



TeMA INPUT 2014 Print ISSN 1970-9889, e- ISSN 1970-9870

DOI available on the online version

Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial License 3.0 www.tema.unina.it Journal of Land Use, Mobility and Environment

SPECIAL ISSUE

Eighth International Conference INPUT Smart City - Planning for Energy, Transportation and Sustainability of the Urban System

Naples, 4-6 June 2014

inout 2014

THE ENERGY NETWORKS LANDSCAPE

IMPACTS ON RURAL LAND IN THE MOLISE REGION

DONATELLA CIALDEA^a, ALESSANDRA MACCARONE^b

^{a, b} University of Molise L.a.co.s.t.a. Laboratory
e-mail: ^a cialdea@unimol.it;
^b alessandra.maccarone@unimol.it

ABSTRACT

The paper concerns the study of the energy infrastructure that have the most impact on rural land. The analysis focuses on the systems for wind power and ground–mounted photovoltaic plants.

The case study is the Region of Molise, in Southern Italy. This Region is an emblematic case because it has a significant number of installations as seen in relationship with the whole national territory. The case becomes even more special with reference to local guidelines that have undergone successive formulations, precisely in relation to the installation in specific areas of the Region. The study analyzes current national and regional rules and it proposes a methodology to support Local Authorities especially in relation to the definition of landscape quality aims, that the new Regional Landscape Plan must provide.

KEYWORDS

Rural Land, Renewable Energy, Landscape, Environment

1 INTRODUCTION

The paper examines the panorama of installations in the Region in order to establish what the legislative framework is and what the actual state of these installations is. Following studies already carried out by our research group - including spatial analysis of knowledge of the land and its topography, its natural sites and its settlement systems, this paper describes an ongoing collaboration between our University of Molise, the Molise Region and the Regional Protection Heritage Office of Molise¹, for the verification of existing tools, analyzing in particular the restrictions resulting from the identification of areas of visual interest.

The first part of this paper describes the regional legislative situation and the context into which these power plants are inserted. This is followed by a description of the territorial analyses undertaken regarding the installation of such power plants, in particular in the Region's coastal zone.

2 THE REALITY OF THE MOLISE REGION

As regards the law, the paper mentions the national and regional standards that have evolved in recent years (Cialdea *et al.* 2010 a, b 2014). As is known, the production of electrical energy underwent a great change in the 90's: in fact, the two laws of 1991 opened a new scenario because from that time onwards the power production was no longer just the prerogative of ENEL (National Agency for Electricity), and the Regions were delegated to identify suitable areas within their territory for the exploitation of renewable energy sources. Moreover, the Act recognized the expropriation in the public interest of the land on which to establish installations for the production of renewable energy.

In 1999, to implement Directive 96/92/EC (common rules for the internal electricity market), the Legislative Decree no. 79/99 was issued which promotes the production of electricity from renewable sources. This obliged producers of energy from fossil fuels to introduce green energy into the electricity market by 2001 or, alternatively, as determined by the Ministerial Decree of 11 November 1999, to purchase of "green certificates". The reform of the Title V of the Italian Constitution (Constitutional Law no. 3/2001) assigned legislative power over energy production to the Regions².

Afterwards new Guidelines for the authorization of renewable energy, plants were implemented by the National Decree in 2010: they will be analyzed in this paper.

In 2006, the Molise Region adopted the Regional Environmental Energy Plan with the goal of optimizing and encouraging energy conservation, and enhancing green energy sources with particular attention to hydroelectric and wind power. The Plan highlights the potential of the Molise territory for the production of wind energy and suggests a target scenario for 2015 in which the installation of wind turbines exceeds 1,700 MW. In addition, the plan identifies areas within the river Biferno basin as sites of great interest for wind energy. The 2015 target scenario does not take into account the contribution of PV integrated into buildings, neither does it considered the construction of ground-mounted photovoltaic plants. The Plan also lays down

¹ In fact, in 2011, the l.a.co.s.t.a. Laboratory (Director prof. D. Cialdea) of the University of Molise was engaged for the realization of the "New Regional Landscape Plan of Molise", through an agreement with the Molise Region.

² The Legislative Decree no. 387/2003, in transposition of the European Directive 2001/77/EC on the production of electricity from renewable sources, established the purpose of promotion of renewable energy sources. In particular art. 12 ordered that the works for the construction of plants powered by renewable energy sources are of public utility, urgent and subjected only to regional authorization in accordance with the Ministerial guidelines. In carrying out these guidelines, the Regions can indicate unsuitable areas and sites for the construction of specific types of plants.

the guidelines for the evaluation of projects and the impacts of wind farms to be implemented by future regional laws.

In 2008, the Molise Region issued its own regional law governing the installation of wind and photovoltaic plants in the area. This law and the subsequent guidelines, identified a number of areas unsuitable for the installation of wind and photovoltaic plants, in particular the areas of regional parks and nature reserves, the "zone 1" of national parks, areas of "protection and integrated conservation" of the Vast Area Landscape Environmental Plans, the SPAs (Special Protection Areas), SCI (Sites of Community Interest) and the area of the Tammaro Valley and the surrounding hills.

It must be stressed that this Law (Art. 2, paragraph 1) forbids the construction of wind farms even in the buffer zone of not less than 3 km from the perimeter of parks and archaeological areas, in the buffer zone of not less than 1 km from the urbanized perimeter, in the buffer zone of 500 meters from residential or rural houses, in the buffer zone of 200 meters from the perimeter boundary of the neighbouring municipalities, in the buffer zone of 5,000 linear meters from the coastline, in the buffer zone of 1 km from river shores, wetlands, lakes and dams.

These points of paragraph 1 of article 2 were declared illegal by the ruling n. 282/2009 of the Constitutional Court³ because the areas deemed unsuitable were arbitrarily identified by the Region without technical reasons. The same ruling declared the ban on the construction of offshore wind installations illegal because the administrative functions relating to the use of the maritime domain are the responsibility of the State. Article 3, which subordinated the granting of permits for installation of plants to the meeting of specific limits set at 545 wind turbines, each of the minimum power of 2 MW and a total of 500 MW of power for the entire region from photovoltaic systems on the ground, was also declared illegitimate.

In fact, prior to this ruling, the Region had already taken steps to change the Regional Law no. 15/2008 with the enactment of the Regional Law no. 22/2009⁴ and subsequent new guidelines⁵.

In this law article 2, paragraph 1 of the Regional Law no. 15/2008 is again taken up in the version subsequently modified by the ruling of the Constitutional Court with the exception of the areas IBA (Important Bird Area) that are inserted in the unsuitable areas. The Law instead considers the possibility of installations in the SCI areas, but only after a positive result from an assessment of the environmental impact, and in the area of the Tammaro Valley and the surrounding hills.

Moreover, article 3 paragraph 1 of the Regional Law 22/2009 states that plants - not exceeding 1 MW – are authorized directly by Municipalities through the DIA ("Dichiarazione di Inizio Attività - Starting Construction Report").

Also in this case another Constitutional Court ruling⁶ stated that this exception could only be introduced by Ministerial decree and not directly by Municipalities; so the Molise Region amended its Guidelines stating that, even for installations not exceeding 1 MW, the procedure for a single authorization issued according to Regional Norms must be followed⁷.

Additional changes and additions to the Law of 2009 were made by Regional Law no. 23/2010. This law reinserted the Tammaro Valley and surrounding hills in the list of areas unsuited to the realization of wind farms and photovoltaic installations, because it is one of the Region's most important archaeological

³ Constitutional Court ruling no. 282 of the 2 November 2009.

⁴ Regional Molise Law no. 22 of the 7 august 2009 - New Rules for the installation of production plants for electricity from renewable sources in the Region of Molise.

⁵ Regional Council Resolution no. 1074 of the 16 November 2009 – New Guidelines.

⁶ Constitutional Court ruling no. 194 of the 26 May 2010.

⁷ Regional Council Resolution no. 857 of the 25 October 2010 – Modification of guidelines for the implementation of the single procedure in art. 12, paragraph 3, of Legislative Decree no. 87/03, concerning the production of electricity from renewable sources.

contexts. Subsequently, a Council of Ministers Ruling⁸ declared this Regional law to be unconstitutional because of the above-mentioned Legislative Decree no. 387/2003 which states that Regions can proceed with the identification of unsuitable areas, but in accordance with National Guidelines. In fact, according to D.M. of 10th September 2010 (National Guidelines for the authorization of plants powered by renewable sources) unsuitable zones could be identified with regard to specific sites after the completion of a thorough investigation that would identify particularly sensitive or vulnerable areas.

Finally, the Molise Region approved final guidelines for authorization to build and to manage plants producing electricity from renewable sources⁹ that reproduces verbatim D.M. of the 10 September 2010.

3 FOCUS ON COASTAL ZONE

This paper presents the situation of power plants in the Region with reference to the two main types of plants installed in rural areas: wind farms and ground-mounted photovoltaic plants. The invasion of the coastal area by ground-mounted photovoltaic plants and by the large number of wind farms situated along the ridges in the pre-coastal area is very clear, especially in the eastern part of the province of Campobasso, along the ridge located between the Biferno Valley and the Fortore Valley.

In detail the paper analyzed the territorial assessment of the Coastal Zone whose main matrix appears to be agricultural-productive and its orographic characteristics favourable to agricultural practices (Cialdea, 2012). In this prevalent matrix there are also environmental excellences such as the coastal landscape, waterways designed by the main watercourse of the Region, the Biferno River, and high natural values such as SCI areas. At the same time this territory is one that has been affected by the major territorial changes that occurred in the last fifty years as a result of the settlement of the major regional infrastructure, all made along the coast of the great transformations due to the processes of agrarian land reform, the implementation settlements tourist resorts and insertion of new energy infrastructure.

The figure 1 shows the situation in the Coastal Zone of the Region and shows the number of wind farms and ground-mounted photovoltaic plants in each municipality territory. The installations are subdivided in three project typologies:

- Approved projects by Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA): wind or photovoltaic projects for which have been required the EIA act in accord to the Regional Law no. 21/200010. The EIA procedure has been positive issue;
- Approved projects only by Screening Act: projects that have been subjected to screening process and for which it isn't necessary the EIA procedure;
- Ongoing projects EIA in progress: projects that have benne subjected to screening process and for which is necessary realize the EIA procedure.

The data refers to the procedures for environmental impact assessment (EIA) and environmental assessment (VA) used for screening wind farms and photovoltaic plants from 2000 to 2014, taken from the Molise Region's institutional website¹¹. Plants already on maps, that is those greater than 1 MW, have not be considered. Most of the cases submitted to the opinion of the Regional Authority, about 90%, are

⁸ Council of Ministers Resolution of the 23 February 2011 - Impugnment of the Law no. 23 of the 23 December 2010.

⁹ Regional Council Resolution no. 621 of the 4 August 2011 - Guidelines for the implementation of the procedure as in art. 12 of Legislative Decree no. 387/2003 for the authorization to construct and operate plants producing electricity from renewable sources in the Molise Region.

¹⁰ Regional Law no. 21 of the 4 March 2000: Rules for the EIA procedure.

¹¹ Source http://www3.regione.molise.it/flex/cm/pages/ServeBLOB.php/L/IT/IDPagina/660 in March 2014.

concentrated in three years (2009-2012). In some years (2000 - 2001 - 2003 - 2004 - 2006), no plant was submitted for evaluation by the Molise Region. The data shows that in the coastal areas (Montenero di Bisaccia, Campomarino, Petacciato) there is a substantial and exclusive concentration of photovoltaic plants, while in the pre-coastal territories there is a higher concentration of wind farms (Acquaviva Collecroce, Santa Croce di Magliano, Bonefro, Ururi, Montecilfone).

Projects submitted to verification in order to determine whether they should undergo environmental impact assessment (commonly called "Screening") represent 97 % of all evaluations. The procedure is intended to determine whether the proposed project may have a significant environmental impact and therefore should



Fig. 1 Wind power and ground-mounted photovoltaic plants in the Molise Coastal Zone

be subject to further EIA procedures, or not. There are three wind power plants in the Region subject to environmental impact assessment (EIA) localized in Campomarino, Portocannone and San Martino in Pensilis, and two photovoltaic plants localized in Campomarino-Portocannone and Montenero di Bisaccia. The figure 2 shows the Land use evolution by highlighting the major increases and decreases in the Molise Coastal Region by a diachronic analysis. The aim of this diachronic analysis was to compare two representations of the territory fifty years apart. We used data from the vegetation map of the Molise Region drafted after World War II (indicative reference year: 1954) and data from the Corine Land Cover 2006. To do this analysis the keys were standardized and then a comparative vector informative layer was used (Cialdea, Maccarone, 2012). In the analysis of the evolution of land use we wanted particularly to highlight the great territorial evolution related to changes in agricultural zones and in urbanized areas. It can be seen that the greatest changes in the coastal zone have been determined by urbanization and the expansion of the town of Termoli, of the lidos on the beaches of Termoli and Campomarino and the Biferno Valley Industrial Area near the border between these two areas. In the pre-coastal area the major transformation has been the artificial lake of Guardialfiera (figure 2 shows its different territorial situations: a: Guardialfiera Lake; b: Industrial Area; c: Lido of Termoli; d: Lido of Campomarino; e: agricultural area along the L'Aguila-Foggia cattle-track). These greatest changes are showed in the last mentioned figure. The land use predominance, especially in the pre-coastal zone, remains mainly its agricultural nature.

4 CONCLUSION

The aim of this paper was to identify a methodology of territory analysis available for the control of these new infrastructures involving rural areas, creating new forms of landscape impact (Empler *et al.* 2006, Steiner 2004). Moreover it is important verify the current land use. Also we wanted to assess how the current landscape plans, drawn up in the early 90s, provided to safeguard their territories, starting from the identification of their elements of interest. The spirit of the enforcement of the Galasso law, in fact, was to establish the area's transformability by dividing the area of each plans. In fact, as known in the Molise Region the landscape plan did not cover the all regional territory. Therefore, the analysis of the Transformability Map of the Plan no.1, which covers the coastal area, has highlighted the mode of transformations prescribed by the plan.

The actual Landscape Plans are created from documentation referred to by Art. 6 of Regional Law no. 24 of December 1, 1989, which regulates the Legislation regarding Territorial Landscape Plans.

Therefore, the project tables containing, among other things, the indications of the degree of landscape and environmental transformability of the area and the methods of protection and enhancement (according to Art. 4 of the same Act) are an integral part of the plans. These indications have been reported for all eight plans drawn up for the Region, in the Transformability Map of the area, scale 1:25,000.

The Map of Coastal Zone, shows in figure 3, defines the main characteristics of the area analyzed by dividing it into different fields of interest or risk. For each of these areas, depending on the prevailing interest, was shown a mode of transformation and the resulting land use regulations through the definition of eligible interventions by identifying the areas to be protected. In order to gain a complete picture of the transformability of the Region, all the Transformability Maps of the eight landscape plans in force have been analyzed. The problem arose in the interpretation of the keys to the plans because, having been drafted by eight different workgroups, they do not present a uniform reading of the territory even though they used the same general guidelines. The keys have been simplified so that they can be standardized.



Fig. 2 Land use evolution by highlighting the major increases and decreases in the Molise Coastal Zone

This means that a number of areas have been merged in order to define the following key:

- Areas of exceptionally high naturalistic and visual interest;
- Areas of mainly naturalistic interest;
- Areas of mainly visual interest;
- Areas of mainly historical-urban interest;
- Areas of mainly archeological interest;
- Areas of mainly agricultural-productive interest;
- Areas with a balance between the elements;

- Settlement system;
- Areas of mainly geological risk;
- Pollution of soils.

The legend has been articulated in this way so as to divide the areas with positive interest and, therefore, that constitute valences for the territory, from those with negative interest which constitute a difficulty for the same territory.

Under the new code it is necessary to redefine the landscape quality aims. In the old Vast Area Landscape Environmental Plans modes of transformation of the territory were defined but in order conform to the new legislation the l.a.co.s.t.a. Laboratory of the University of Molise has been entrusted with the creation of new landscape quality aims for the Region of Molise. These aims are stated for each of the geographical areas identified in the analysis phase. There are ten homogeneous areas: Coastal Molise, Middle Biferno-Fortore, Biferno-Trigno, Biferno-central Molise, Matese, Province of Isernia, Montagnola-Upper Molise, Mainarde and Volturno.

For each of these areas the preparations for the New Regional Landscape Plan foresee the definition of the new landscape quality aims as listed in art. 135 of the Code¹² which states that "for each area the landscape plans define specific requirements and provisions, in particular:

- the conservation of the constituent elements and the morphologies of the landscape heritage under protection, taking account of architectural styles, techniques and construction materials, as well as the requirements for the recovery of landscape values;
- the rehabilitation of compromised or degraded areas;
- the protection of landscape features of the other territories, ensuring at the same time, the least consumption of the territory;
- the identification of the lines of urban development and construction, on the basis of their compatibility with the recognized and protected landscape values, with particular attention to the preservation of rural landscapes and sites included in the UNESCO World Heritage List.

Obviously for the areas previously covered by the Vast Area Landscape Environmental Plans the work started with the synthesis maps developed in the early 90s and especially from the Transformability Map.

In the first phase, an Actual state grid was produced starting from the analyses carried out during the preparation of the Vast Area Landscape Environmental Plans and in particular taking into account aspects related to the elements of environmental, landscape, historical, cultural, agricultural, productive, and demographic-tourism interest. In this phase of the work the main activities were the elaboration of this data, the standardization of the reading for all eight plans in force, the integration of analyses not only for those areas not covered by the plan, but also for those covered by the plan because the information is almost twenty years out of date.

The analyses conducted on the ten areas and on the five systems of Physical-Envronmental, Landscape-Visual, Historical-Cultural, Agricultural-Productive and Demographic-Touristic resources has enabled us to formulate targets for the Molise landscapes.

The targets identified for the entire regional area are related to the conservation, protection, management and planning of exceptional, ordinary, and degraded landscapes with particular reference to typical natural landscapes such as rivers, lakes, hills, mountains, coastal and rural landscapes, forestry and agro-pastoral, not to mention historic, rural, urban, industrial and infrastructure sectors.

¹² Legislative Decree no. 42 of the 22 January 2004, Code of Cultural and Landscape Heritage, modified and integrated by successive laws.





The objectives identified are also related to the government of the processes of urbanization and abandonment of the territory and to the preservation of material cultural values and intangible values such as the traditions and history of the Region.

The definition of the landscape aims was achieved after the phase spatial analysis through the analysis of national and international case studies of good territorial governance (Casarotto *et al.* 2009).

The work group of the l.a.co.s.t.a. laboratory has identified a general objective for each resource system in which it was organized the analysis phase (Cialdea, Maccarone 2012, 2013).

The general objective was subdivided into specific objectives, as shown in Table 1.

RESOURCES GENERAL AIMS SYSTEMS		SPECIFIC AIMS		
	1	Promote the preservation of the integrity of areas of high naturalness and high ecosystem value	1.1	Safeguard geological-geomorphological systems with high integrity (geological formations, ravines, cliffs, crags)
ystem			1.2	Safeguard protected areas and areas of high environmental value such as those covered by the Nature 2000 Network
ental S			1.3	Safeguard and improve environmental functionality of river and lake systems of Molise
nvronme			1.4	Safeguard and rebuild coastal marine habitats of Molise (coastal wooded areas, dune systems, river mouths)
sical-E			1.5	Safeguard woods and forests of mountainous and hilly areas of Molise
Phys			1.6	Redevelop and redesign the coastal landscapes of Molise
e- stem	2	Promote improved integration of landscape and the quality of infrastructures Promote the preservation of cultural values	2.1	Define territorial and landscape quality standards in the settlement of new network infrastructure
dscap Ial Sys			2.2	Define territorial and landscape quality standards in the settlement of new energy infrastructure
Lan Visu			2.3	Define territorial and landscape quality standards in the settlement of new productive activities
-la la			3.1	Preserve cultural value and witnesses of settlements and historical manufacts
oric ura tem			3.2	Preserve cultural value of traditional rural buildings
Hist Cult			3.3	Preserve the visible cattle-tacks rest
± 0 0,			3.4	Redevelop the historic rural landscapes
- a	4	Promote the conservation of agricultural landscapes	4.1	recognize and promote its social functions
gricultur roductiv ystem			4.2	Preserve open landscapes of the reclamation as a characteristic aspect of identity of coastal landscape of Molise
AGS			4.3	Redevelop the agricultural landscape of Molise
<u>ں</u>		Promote the improvement of the quality of the settlements	5.1	Improve quality of urban settlements and their environmental performance, for greater well-being of the population
ouristi	_		5.2	Redevelop degraded contemporary urbanization landscapes
Н Ч	5		5.3	Improve urban quality of and touristic settlements
Iraphic			5.4	Improve urban quality of agricultural and productive settlements
Demog Systerr			5.5	Improve soft mobility quality (walking, cycling, trekking on horse) and its interconnection with the traditional mobility

Tab.1 The landscape quality aims declined for the five resources systems in which have been organized spatial data analysis carried out

Lastly, these objectives were finally associated with landscape quality directions that indicate policies to adopt and those who have an interest in achieving these objectives, as well as the measures required to adapt the urban planning instruments to the indications of the new Regional Landscape Plan.

Therefore, the landscape quality targets in this area aim to safeguard the surviving heritage in the area, to recover and improve the landscapes altered and degraded by human activity and to define quality standards for the correct insertion of new energy infrastructure in the coastal territory (Di Bene *et al.* 2006, 2007).

Finally, the landscape quality objectives aim to identify, in the sense of Art. 136 of the Urbani Code, the criteria on which to base the identification of areas to be protected through the need to initiate proceedings

for the declaration of significant public interest for "complexes of buildings with a characteristic appearance having aesthetic and traditional value, including historical towns and nucleuses " and for "scenic panoramas and also public viewing points or lookout points from which such beautiful sights can be enjoyed" and dictate the rules to ensure the preservation of the values expressed by the peculiar characteristics and aspects of the territory concerned.

REFERENCES

Casarotto, A., De Guio, A., Ferrarese, F. (2009), "Action Gis: un modello predittivo del movimento antropico in un paesaggio antico. Il caso di studio della Val d'Alpone (VR)", *Archeologia e Calcolatori*, 20, 301-segg.

Cialdea, D., Maccarone, A., Sollazzo, A. (2010), "Wind energy and landscape in Molise – Legislation, Incentives and Problems", *Proceedings of the International Conference on Renewable Energies and Power Quality (ICREPQ'10)*, Granada (Spain), 23rd to 25th March, Paper n. 493.

Cialdea, D., Maccarone, A., Sollazzo, A. (2010), "Wind energy in Molise. Guidelines for wind power farms in landscape", *Proceedings of V Worshop APDR Associação Portuguesa para o Desenvolvimento Regional, Casos de Desenvolvimento Regional*, 08/02/2010, Universidad de Coimbra, Sessão 11 Paper 01037.

Cialdea, D., Maccarone, A. (2012), "Territory diachronic maps for the Regional Landscape Plan", in Campagna M. et al. (eds.), *Planning support tools Policy Analysis, Implementation and Evaluation*, FrancoAngeli, Milano, 386-398.

Cialdea, D. (2012), "A new landscape planning approach in areas with a strongly rural matrix", in Campagna M. et al. (eds.), *Planning support tools Policy Analysis, Implementation and Evaluation*, FrancoAngeli, Milano,453-464.

Cialdea, D., Maccarone, A. (2013), "Il Piano Paesaggistico Regionale e la mobilità sostenibile", in *Urbanistica per una diversa crescita. Aporie dello sviluppo, uscita dalla crisi e progetto del territorio contemporaneo.* Atti della XVI Conferenza Nazionale SIU – Società Italiana Urbanisti – Napoli, 9-10 Maggio, *Planum. The Journal of Urbanism*, 27(II).

Cialdea, D., Quercio, N. (2014), "An overview on the Molise (Italy) renewable energy law: the conflict between the landscape protection and the territory management", *International Conference on Renewable Energies and Power Quality (ICREPQ'14), Cordoba (Spain), Renewable Energy and Power Quality Journal (RE&PQJ)*, ISSN 2172-038 X, No.12, April 2014.

Di Bene, A., Scazzosi, L. (eds.) (2006), *Gli impianti eolici: suggerimenti per la progettazione e valutazione paesaggistica*, Gangemi, Roma.

Di Bene A., D'Eusebio, L. (2007), Paesaggio agrario. Una questione non risolta, Gangemi, Roma.

Empler, T, Bianconi, F., Bagagli, R. (2006), *Rappresentazione del paesaggio. Modelli virtuali per la progettazione ambientale e territoriale.* DEI, Roma.

Steiner, F.(2004), Costruire il paesaggio. Un approccio ecologico alla pianificazione, II ed: McGraw-Hill, Milano.

IMAGES SOURCES

Fig. 1: Orthophotos Molise Region 2008, ARPA Molise Region, our elaboration.

Fig. 2: Vegetation Map Molise Region, 1954; Corine Land Cover Map 2006; a: Guardialfiera Lake; b: Industrial Area; c: Lido of Termoli; d: Lido of Campomarino; e: agricultural area along the L'Aquila-Foggia cattle-track our photos 2010 and our elaboration.

Fig. 3: Trasformability Maps of Vast Area Landscape Plans no. 1 and no. 2, our elaboration.

AUTHORS' PROFILE

Donatella Cialdea

Full Professor (Urban Planning) at the University of Molise since 1988. Actually she is a President of the Civil Engineering Course, already Dean of the Faculty of Engineering from 2009 to 2012. She is the Director of the Laboratory L.A.Co.S.T.A. (Laboratory for activities relating to the Territorial Development and Environment) at the University of Molise in order to prepare students and operators in the Geographical Information Systems field). She is the Coordinator of the PhD Course in "Landscape Analysis and Valorisation" at the University of Molise, based consortium with the University of Sassari, from the cycle XX. She was the Scientific Coordinator of the International Master Level I Pro.D.U.C.T.I.V.E. Coast (Proposal for the Development of Urban and Coastal Territory in relation to the Value of the Environment), aimed at the technical-scientific training of a specific professional figure - the Selective Interpreter of Territorial Data).

Alessandra Maccarone

Doctor in Environmental Science at 2004 at the University of L'Aquila. PhD in Analisi e Valorizzazione del Paesaggio at 2010 con l'etichetta aggiuntiva di Doctor Europaeus at University of Molise. From 2010 she is researcher fellowship in Landscape and Environmental Science at the I.a.co.s.t.a. Laboratory at the University of Molise. She had partecipated in numerous research project and she assists the professor Cialdea in her teaching activities. She is expert of Geographic Information Systems and is working on the project for the drafting of the new Regional Landscape Plan Molise.